UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,408	02/14/2006	Christophe Pierre Antoine Marie Girard	403416/WEINSTEIN	4583
	7590 05/03/2007 C& MAYER, LTD		EXAMINER	
700 THIRTEE			BATTULA, PRADEEP CHOUDARY	
SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3960			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3722	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/03/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/539,408	GIRARD, CHRISTOPHE PIERRE ANTOINE MARIE				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Pradeep C. Battula	3722				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address				
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•						
1)⊠	1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 February 2006</u> .						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)[	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims		• ,				
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)[	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.						
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•					
. 8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 June 2005</u> is/are: a)	□ accepted or b) □ objected to	by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12)🛛	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>							
	application from the International Bureau	*	o in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment	· (a)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
	2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  3) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/17/05, 2/14/06.							

Art Unit: 3722

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Herlitz (DE 2007 07 028 U1).

In regards to Claim 1, Herlitz discloses a document filing folder 10 comprising: a cover 20 (Figure 1) including an anterior sheet (Figure 2; page on left of spiral) and a posterior sheet (Figure 2; page on right of spiral), a binding 18a joining the anterior and posterior sheets (Figure 2, Item 18a), one of the anterior and posterior sheets having a first flap 33 along at least one of lower and upper edges (Figure 2, Item 33) and a second flap 32 along a lateral edge (Figure 2, Item 32), the flaps being foldable towards an interior side of the sheet for storing documents (Attachment; Novelty section), the documents being notebook pages securely linked to the binding for storing the notebook pages by folding the flaps successively (Attachment, Novelty section; sheets inside the notebook are disclosed and therefore notebook pages and are able to be stored in the formed pocket), one on top of another (Figure 2, Items 32, 33), toward the sheet, with the notebook pages stored under the flaps folded towards the sheet (Attachment, Novelty Section; pages can be stored in the folded sections nothing is to prevent the

Art Unit: 3722

bound papers from being inserted since the pocket is capable of holding the bound pages).

In regards to Claim 3, as applied to Claim 1, Herlitz further discloses wherein the binding includes a spiral 18a, (Attachment, Novelty section; Figure 2, Item 18a; Herlitz) enabling the notebook pages to be stored flat over the sheet with the flaps.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2, 4 – 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Herlitz in view of Gallot (FR 2 792 573).

In regards to Claim 2, as applied to Claim 1, Herlitz does not disclose wherein the sheet with the flaps is the posterior sheet.

Gallot discloses a document filing folder with a sheet 1 with the flaps 12, 16, and 17 is the posterior sheet (Figure 1, Items 1, 12, 1, 17).

Therefore it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use place Herlitz flaps on the posterior sheet since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. It would have also been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the additional flap of Gallot in order to

Art Unit: 3722

provide an even stronger retaining means (Figure 1; Figure 3; Gallot) for Herlitz's documents.

In regards to Claim 4, as applied to Claim 1, Herlitz does not disclose a closing means including a rubber band attached to one of the anterior sheet and the posterior sheet, sliding relative to the sheet through eyelets, and which can be placed over at least one a corner of the other sheet and the sheet, parallel to the binding.

Gallot discloses a document filing folder with a closing means including a rubber band 4 attached to one of the anterior sheet 2 and the posterior sheet, sliding relative to the sheet through eyelets 6 (Page 3, Lines 5 – 6; Figure 1, Items 2, 4, 6; Gallot), and which is capable of being placed over at least one a corner of the other sheet and the sheet, parallel to the binding (Page 3, Lines 5 – 13; Gallot). Therefore it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the band of Gallot in order to provide even further retaining means of the contents of Herlitz's book.

In regards to Claim 5, as applied to Claim 1, Herlitz does not disclose wherein one of the anterior sheet and the posterior sheet has three flaps, respectively along the lower and upper edges, and along the lateral edge.

Gallot discloses Gallot discloses a document filing folder with a sheet 1 with flaps 12, 16, and 17 (Figure 1, Items 1, 12, 1, 17) along the lower, upper and lateral edges. Therefore it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the third flap of Gallot in Herlitz invention in order to

Art Unit: 3722

provide an even stronger retaining means (Figure 1; Figure 3; Gallot) for Herlitz's documents.

In regards to Claim 6, as applied to Claim 2, Herlitz modified by Gallot further discloses wherein the binding includes a spiral 18a (Attachment, Novelty section; Figure 2, Item 18a; Herlitz), enabling the notebook pages to be stored flat over the sheet with the flaps.

In regards to Claim 7, as applied to Claim 2, Herlitz modified by Gallot further discloses a closing means including a rubber band 4 attached to one of the anterior sheet 2 and the posterior sheet, sliding relative to the sheet through eyelets 6 (Page 3, Lines 5 – 6; Figure 1, Items 2, 4, 6; Gallot), and which is capable of being placed over at least one a corner of the other sheet and the sheet, parallel to the binding (Page 3, Lines 5 – 13; Gallot). Therefore it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the band of Gallot in order to provide even further retaining means of the contents of Herlitz's book.

In regards to Claim 8, as applied to Claim 3, Herlitz does not disclose a closing means including a rubber band attached to one of the anterior sheet and the posterior sheet, sliding relative to the sheet through eyelets, and which can be placed over at least one a corner of the other sheet and the sheet, parallel to the binding.

Gallot discloses a closing means including a rubber band 4 attached to one of the anterior sheet 2 and the posterior sheet, sliding relative to the sheet through eyelets 6 (Page 3, Lines 5 – 6; Figure 1, Items 2, 4, 6; Gallot), and which is capable of being placed over at least one a corner of the other sheet and the sheet, parallel to the

Art Unit: 3722

binding (Page 3, Lines 5 - 13; Gallot). Therefore it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the band of Gallot in order to provide even further retaining means of the contents of Herlitz's book.

In regards to Claim 9, as applied to Claim 2, Herlitz modified by Gallot further discloses wherein one of the anterior sheet and the posterior sheet has three flaps 12, 16, 17, respectively along the lower and upper edges, and along the lateral edge (Figure 1; Gallot).

In regards to Claim 10, as applied to Claim 3, Herlitz does not disclose wherein one of the anterior sheet and the posterior sheet has three flaps, respectively along the lower and upper edges, and along the lateral edge.

Gallot discloses wherein one of the anterior sheet and the posterior sheet has three flaps 12, 16, 17, respectively along the lower and upper edges, and along the lateral edge (Figure 1; Gallot). Therefore it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the additional flap of Gallot in order to provide an even stronger retaining means (Figure 1; Figure 3; Gallot) for Herlitz's documents.

In regards to Claim 11, as applied to Claim 4, Herlitz modified by Gallot further discloses wherein one of the anterior sheet and the posterior sheet has three flaps 12, 16, 17, respectively along the lower and upper edges, and along the lateral edge (Figure 1; Gallot). Therefore it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the additional flap of Gallot

Art Unit: 3722

in order to provide an even stronger retaining means (Figure 1; Figure 3; Gallot) for Herlitz's documents.

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 10/539,408 Page 8

Art Unit: 3722

## Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pradeep C. Battula whose telephone number is 571-272-2142. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 7:00AM - 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Monica S. Carter can be reached on 571-272-4475. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

PCB Patent Examiner April 30, 2007

MONICA CARTER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER